Appl. No. 10/584,405 In re LAMANA et al. Reply to Office Action of March 26, 2009

REMARKS

The examiner is thanks for the Official Action mailed March 26, 2009 and the indication of allowable subject matter. This amendment and request for reconsideration is

intended to be fully responsive thereto.

The drawings were objected to for failing to illustrate the "crankshaft" and "engine"

recited in original claim 8. Applicant has amended claim 8 to make it clear that the

crankshaft and engine are not positively recited as elements of this claimed invention. No

new matter has been entered.

The Abstract was objected to for use of the term "means" which has been removed by

amendment thus rendering this objection moot.

Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for indefinite

claim language. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 8 to address the issues raised by the

examiner. It is submitted that the current claim recite the invention in accordance with 35

U.S.C. §112.

No new matter is contained in the amendments.

Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-

0548.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Stavish

Registration No. 36,286

BERENATO, WHITE & STAVISH 6550 Rock Spring Drive Suite 240

Bethesda, Maryland 20817

(301) 896-0600

6